



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/067,498	02/04/2002	James Castillo	ASTRX-010B	8369		
7663 75	90 03/22/2005	EXAMINER				
STETINA BR	UNDA GARRED & BI	MATHEW, FENN C				
75 ENTERPRIS ALISO VIEJO,	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TIELES VIEWS,	0.1 72000		3764	3764		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/067,498		CASTILLO, JAMES	W			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Fenn C Math	iew	3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
olalus —				•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2004.							
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from cons						
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
	The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac							
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ection is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure- See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been iority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0- er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/067,498

Art Unit: 3764

ì.

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,796,951. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both contain limitations drawn to an upper frame member and a lower frame member, an upper cuff and a lower cuff secured to the upper and lower frame member respectively, an upper securement member and a lower securment member, and a pivoting joint member connecting the upper and lower frame member comprised of pivot assemblies comprising four socket mounts and a forward and rearward arm having upper and lower ends, with each of the ends retained in one of the socket mounts. Limitations in the dependant claims discussing having different pivot ratios are considered notoriously old and well known, and therefore would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,498

Art Unit: 3764

Ŋ

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Min

fcm

March 18, 2005

MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael a. Br